

# TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS - TEMPO

## SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 7-12-22 Surveyor: J BUNDOCK

Tree details  
 TPO Ref (if applicable):  
 Owner (if known):  
 Tree/Group No: 41 Species: 2x Heyland Cypress  
 Location: 41 GREENEGATE, HUN.

### REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

#### Part 1: Amenity assessment

##### a) Condition & suitability for TPO

- |                          |                         |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good                  | Highly suitable         |
| 3) Fair/satisfactory     | Suitable                |
| 1) Poor                  | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Dead/dying/dangerous* | Unsuitable              |

Score & Notes: 3

\* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

##### b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

- |           |                 |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+   | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable   |
| 2) 20-40  | Suitable        |
| 1) 10-20  | Just suitable   |
| 0) <10*   | Unsuitable      |

Score & Notes: 4

\*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

##### c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- |   |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees  | Highly suitable     |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public       | Suitable            |
| 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only              | Suitable            |
| 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty | Barely suitable     |
| 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size              | Probably unsuitable |

Score & Notes: 3

##### d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- |  |
|--|
| 5) Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees                    |
| 4) Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion                    |
| 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance                       |
| 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual                              |
| 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form) |
| -1) Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location                  |

Score & Notes: 1

#### Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify

- |   |
|---|
| 5) Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice |
| 3) Foreseeable threat to tree                 |
| 2) Perceived threat to tree                   |
| 1) Precautionary only                         |

Score & Notes: 3

#### Part 3: Decision guide

- |       |                       |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO      |
| 1-6   | TPO indefensible      |
| 7-11  | Does not merit TPO    |
| 12-15 | TPO defensible        |
| 16+   | Definitely merits TPO |

Add Scores for Total: 14

Decision: TPO